

Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607)
Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716)
Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643)
Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298)
Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928)
Lisa J. Cisneros (State Bar No. 251473)
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
Telephone: 415.956.1000
Facsimile: 415.956.1008

Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
Lisa J. Leebove (State Bar No. 186705)
James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM
505 Montgomery, Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.500.6800
Facsimile: 415.500.6803

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL ACTIONS

Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

JOINT DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to the Court's April 1, 2013 Case Management Order (Dkt. 380), the parties submit this joint discovery status report.

I. Status of Discovery

Since the April 5, 2013 Joint Discovery Status Report, the following depositions have taken place:

- Paul Schreiber (former Apple) on April 6, 2013.
- Robert Mansfield (Apple) on April 11, 2013.

The following are the dates of outstanding depositions that have been or are being scheduled:

- Sheryl Sandberg (former Google).

Since April 5, 2013 Joint Discovery Status Report, Defendants have collectively produced a small number of documents.¹

II. Salary Range and/or Market Reference Guideline

On February 22, 2013, Plaintiffs asked each Defendant to confirm that it had produced all compensation materials in response to Plaintiffs' Requests for Production of Documents. For instance, Request for Production No. 20 in Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Documents, propounded on October 3, 2011, called for "All documents concerning any method, formula, policy, practice, or calculation you . . . used for determining compensation of employees." Defendants' salary ranges fall squarely within the call of Plaintiffs' request. All Defendants have long confirmed that their production of compensation materials was complete. However, at the close of discovery, Plaintiffs became concerned that certain Defendants had not completed their production in this area. Specifically, deposition testimony indicated that each Defendant used salary ranges and/or market reference guidelines associated with the employee job titles/codes included in the proposed class to inform their compensation decisions. Plaintiffs remain uncertain as to whether all Defendants have produced this information for each year in the discovery period.

During the April 8, 2013 Case Management Conference, counsel for Adobe, Apple, Intel, Intuit, Lucasfilm, and Pixar agreed to confirm that they have produced documents sufficient to show their salary and/or market ranges during the discovery period. Google had already

¹ For example, Pixar produced four documents, consisting of 400 pages.

1 confirmed its production prior to the Case Management Conference. Adobe did so on April 9,
2 2013.

3 Apple: On April 10, 2013, Plaintiffs' counsel asked Apple to either produce its salary
4 ranges for all class job titles and/or codes during the discovery period, or to indicate where in
5 their production Apple had already done so. The same day, counsel for Apple informed Plaintiffs
6 that Apple has produced documents reflecting its recommended salary ranges. However, the
7 documents that Apple pointed to are partial examples of salary range data, rather than the
8 comprehensive sets of salary range data that Plaintiffs requested. On April 12, 2013, Plaintiffs'
9 counsel again wrote to Apple to clarify that Plaintiffs seek salary ranges for all class job titles
10 and/or codes during the discovery period. Later on April 12, Apple indicated that it had produced
11 documents reflecting recommended salary ranges throughout the class period and identified those
12 documents by Bates number. Plaintiffs are in the process of reviewing these documents and
13 discussing with Apple whether production in response to their request is complete.

14 Intel: On April 10, 2013, Plaintiffs' counsel asked Intel to either produce its salary ranges
15 for all class job titles and/or codes during the discovery period, or to indicate where in their
16 production Intel had already done so. Intel responded on April 12, 2013 that it had produced
17 salary range data for the class period in a single file. Plaintiffs and Intel will continue to meet and
18 confer on the question of whether that file contains the Intel job code-specific information that
19 Plaintiffs seek.

20 Intuit: On April 8, 2013, Plaintiffs' counsel asked Intuit to either produce its market data
21 reference points associated with Intuit job titles and/or codes during the discovery period, or to
22 indicate where in their production Intuit had already done so. Intuit's counsel is still investigating
23 whether Intuit maintains this information for the class period.

24 Lucasfilm: On April 10, 2013, Plaintiffs' counsel asked Lucasfilm to either produce its
25 salary ranges for all class job titles and/or codes during the discovery period, or to indicate where
26 in their production Lucasfilm had already done so. Lucasfilm responded, pointing to several
27 salary range-related documents and producing one additional document. Plaintiffs and Lucasfilm
28

1 will continue to meet and confer on the question of whether that file contains the complete
2 information that Plaintiffs seek.

3 Pixar: Plaintiffs and Pixar are engaged in an on-going meet and confer regarding Pixar's
4 internal use of market salary range data. Pixar identified sample documents already produced that
5 correlate external market data with Pixar's own job codes and/or titles. Plaintiffs have asked
6 Pixar to confirm that it has produced all such documents.

7
8 **III. Steve Jobs Apple Town Hall Materials**

9 Plaintiffs have learned that during the time Steve Jobs was Apple CEO, Steve Jobs
10 conducted company-wide internal meetings or assemblies that were sometimes referred to as a
11 "Town Hall." Plaintiffs have learned that during some of the meetings, Steve Jobs and others
12 from Apple discussed certain issues relevant to this case, including but not limited to policies or
13 philosophies regarding recruiting and compensation, Jobs' personal involvement in recruiting
14 matters, as well as discussions of business dealings, collaborations and other relationships
15 between Apple and other companies, including Defendants. Plaintiffs have asked Apple to
16 produce records (including transcripts, video, and audio) of such meetings, to the extent they have
17 not been produced. Apple and Plaintiffs are discussing these matters. Apple has produced the
18 transcript from its January 28, 2010 town hall meeting at Plaintiffs' request and has agreed to
19 search for and produce additional responsive materials regarding these meetings.

20 Plaintiffs have also asked to schedule the deposition of Phil Schiller, a senior Apple
21 Executive, whom they believe was personally involved in the communications which gave rise to
22 the May 2005 email communication between Steve Jobs and Bruce Chizen (Adobe's CEO)
23 memorializing an agreement between the two companies. Apple and Plaintiffs are discussing
24 this. Apple believes this request is untimely and improper, but is meeting and conferring with
25 Plaintiffs to see if this issue can be resolved.

26 **IV. Google "inyourfacebook" Documents**

27 Google has completed a search for materials related to this site and will be producing
28 additional related documents next week.

V. Discovery Status Reports

Plaintiffs respectfully submit that the parties should continue to provide the Court with weekly discovery status reports until the aforementioned discovery issues are resolved.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 12, 2013

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

By: /s/ Kelly M. Dermody
Kelly M. Dermody

Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607)
Kelly M. Dermody (State Bar No. 171716)
Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643)
Dean Harvey (State Bar No. 250298)
Anne B. Shaver (State Bar No. 255928)
Lisa J. Cisneros (State Bar No. 251473)
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
Telephone: 415.956.1000
Facsimile: 415.956.1008

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class

Dated: April 12, 2013

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM

By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri
Joseph R. Saveri

Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
Lisa J. Leebove (State Bar No. 186705)
James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM
255 California, Suite 450
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415. 500.6800
Facsimile: 415. 500.6803

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff Class

1 Dated: April 12, 2013

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Michael F. Tubach
Michael F. Tubach

4 George Riley
5 Michael F. Tubach
6 Lisa Chen
7 Christina J. Brown
8 Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8700
Facsimile: (415) 984-8701

9 *Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.*

10 Dated: April 12, 2013

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

11 By: /s/ Daniel Purcell
12 Daniel Purcell

13 John W. Keker
14 Daniel Purcell
15 Eugene M. Page
16 633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 381-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

17 *Attorneys for Defendant LUCASFILM LTD.*

18 Dated: April 12, 2013

JONES DAY

19 By: /s/ David C. Kiernan
20 David C. Kiernan

21 Robert A. Mittelstaedt
22 Craig A. Waldman
23 David C. Kiernan
24 555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 626-3939
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

25 *Attorneys for Defendant ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.*

1 Dated: April 12, 2013

JONES DAY

2
3 By: /s/ Robert A. Mittelstaedt
Robert A. Mittelstaedt

4 Robert A. Mittelstaedt
5 Craig E. Stewart
555 California Street, 26th Floor
6 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 626-3939
7 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

8 Catherine T. Zeng
1755 Embarcadero Road
9 Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 739-3939
10 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900

11 *Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.*

12 Dated: April 12, 2013

MAYER BROWN LLP

13 By: /s/ Lee H. Rubin
14 Lee H. Rubin

15 Lee H. Rubin
Edward D. Johnson
16 Donald M. Falk
Two Palo Alto Square
17 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 300
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
18 Telephone: (650) 331-2057
Facsimile: (650) 331-4557

19 *Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.*

20 Dated: April 12, 2013

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

21
22 By: /s/ Frank M. Hinman
Frank M. Hinman

23 Donn P. Pickett
24 Frank M. Hinman
Three Embarcadero Center
25 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 393-2000
26 Facsimile: (415) 383-2286

27 *Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORPORATION*

1 Dated: April 12, 2013

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Emily Johnson Henn
Emily Johnson Henn

4 Robert T. Haslam, III
5 Emily Johnson Henn
333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700
6 Redwood City, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 632-4700

7 *Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR*

8 **ATTESTATION:** Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in
9 the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28